

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

JOURNAL

OFFICE OF LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL

Thursday - 13 February 1969

1. (Unclassified - LLM) Scott Cohen, in the office of Senator Charles H. Percy (R., Ill.), called on the status of the application of

25X1A [redacted] When I reported back that our Office of Personnel had no record of an application in this name, Mr. Cohen said he would send a brief bio statement on her so that we could recheck our records before he reports back to her that we have no record of her application.

2. (Unclassified - LLM) Was advised by the office of Mr. James Hyde, Bureau of the Budget, on the requirements for submitting an Agency

25X1A [redacted] to the 91st Congress which was recently requested by Chairman Celler, House Committee on Judiciary.

3. (Internal Use Only - LLM) Miss Roberts, in the office of Representative J. J. Pickle (D., Texas), called on behalf of constituents doing research on the May 1960 U-2 incident. She seemed to feel that the printed hearing by Senate Armed Services Committee on 6 March 1962 and Representative Cannon's remarks in the Congressional Record would be very helpful to them in fulfilling this constituent request and we will provide her with copies.

4. (Confidential - LLM) [redacted]

[redacted]

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

SECRET

Journal - Office of Legislative Counsel
Thursday - 13 February 1969

Page 5

16. (Secret - GLC) Met with Ed Braswell, Staff Director of the Senate Armed Services Committee, and left with him copies of our package on S. 782. Braswell felt that in our presentations on this bill we should stress the unique Agency situation and the fact that the use of things such as psychology testing and the polygraph are conditions of employment which most applicants appreciate and readily agree to. In this connection, he pointed out the ingrained opposition which Senator Ervin (a former judge) has both to the polygraph and to undue emphasis on security. Braswell said that Ervin also tends to dislike the approach of what he might consider to be over zealous anti-communists, mentioning Jay Sourwine as an example.

In discussing the Ervin bill, Braswell asked if we had determined just what the Administration position would be on this legislation. I told him we had discussed the matter with Ken BeLieu, who felt he could be of little help to us at this point in time. I told him that we were also contacting the Civil Service Commission in this regard. Braswell thought this was a good idea since an Administration position will have to be reached on this legislation eventually. I also told him that the Director was planning to write Senator Ervin a letter asking for an opportunity to be heard on this bill in executive session. Braswell thought this was highly desirable.

25X1A

JOHN M. MAURY
Legislative Counsel

cc:
ODDCI
Ex-Dir-Compt
OPPB
DD/I
DD/S
DD/S&T
EA/DDP
Mr. Houston
Mr. Goodwin

Item #1 - Office of Personnel

Item #5 - Office of Logistics

Item #6 - SB Division

SECRET

SECRET

JOURNAL

OFFICE OF LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL

Tuesday - 4 February 1969

1. (Confidential - LLM) Met with Representative Dante B. Fascell (D., Fla.) in followup to his call to Mr. Maury yesterday afternoon. See Memorandum for the Record on Cuban exile group.

2. (Secret - JMM) Met with William Spell, in the office of Senator John Stennis (D., Miss.), and briefed him on:

- a. latest information regarding the assassination attempt in the Kremlin;
- b. Soviet air reconnaissance off the Alaskan coast;
- c. status of Soviet reconnaissance satellite program.

Mr. Spell said Senator Stennis' interest in the Soviet leadership situation had been stimulated by today's Washington Post article by Anatole Shub regarding the rehabilitation of Stalin. I said that apparently something strange was going on in the Kremlin, and we were following it closely, but this item in itself did not justify any firm conclusion.

Mr. Spell said that the Armed Services Committee had scheduled an organizational meeting for tomorrow soon after which they would probably want an Agency briefing. However he doubted that they would be ready for this until the week of the 17th. He remarked that at a recent meeting the Committee had voted unanimously to request the President to resubmit the nominations of all "carry over" executive officials who would normally be subject to Senate confirmation. Spell said he assumed this might apply to the Director as well as to Department of Defense officials.

SECRET